UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,591	02/06/2006	Fabio Perini	72130	2515
23872 MCGLEW & T	7590 08/14/200 UTTLE, PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 9227		TAWFIK, SAMEH		
SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/567,591	PERINI, FABIO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-10 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	relection requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See lon is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060206.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/567,591 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-7) in the reply filed on 07/02/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a single search can be performed to consider all aspects of the invention. This is not found persuasive because as been disclosed on the previous action, both inventions require different search and that will burden the examiner. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino

acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification is missing the headings, for example (BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION, BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION, etc.);

The specification in page 2, lines 11 and 12; "in the dependent claims." un-acceptable as the filed specification should not be referring to any claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the lower surface" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the last ribbon" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said ribbon" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the same ribbon" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the application" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said stripe of quick setting glue" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the longitudinal edges" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the quick setting glue" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said stripes of quick setting glue" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said preset length" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the length of the tubes" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 the best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Backstrom et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,338,147).

Backstrom discloses a method for producing cardboard tubes via using ribbon and mandrel (Fig. 1; via ribbons 2 on the mandrel); characterizing glue supplying means (via glue nozzle 7) operated at intervals (via glue strip 9 seems as broken line, which make it inherent that the glue nozzle 7 release glue at intervals; column 2, lines 66-68).

Regarding claim 2: the glue (via 9) is distributed in proximity to both longitudinal edges of the ribbons, (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 3: the glue is a hot melt glue (Abstract, line 3).

Regarding claim 5: the ribbons are of the same width (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6: the ribbons are of different width (Fig. 1; via ribbons 2 of each side seems with different width).

Regarding claim 7: the preset length depends on the length of the tubes to be obtained (Figs. 2 and 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 the best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Backstrom et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,338,147).

Backstrom does not disclose the use of two injectors to dispense the glue. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Backstrom's method by using a second glue dispenser, since it has been

Application/Control Number: 10/567,591 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721